

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/848,706	05/02/2001	Qian Zhang	MS1-716US	MS1-716US 7941	
22801	7590 07/06/2005		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYES PLLC 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			LIN, KELVIN Y		
SPOKANE,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2142		
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
09/848,706	ZHANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Kelvin Lin	2142	

Advisory Action	09/848,706	ZHANG ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kelvin Lin	2142					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
	E REPLY FILED 01 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the		er is later. In no				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	RST REPLY WAS FILE					
een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) cove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.					
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		timely filed emends	ont cancoling				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:		•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
$B$ . $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u>	not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).							
3. Other:							
	ANDREW CAL	DWELL					

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Zombek does not address about the protocol gateway 116 to establish "a communication channel from the server to the wireless host through both the wireline network and the wireless network..". The Office respectfully disagrees. Zombek in [0071] - [0073], and Fig. 1A-F, describes in more detail about protocol gateway can make the connection (corresponds to the communication channel) between wire and wireless network via network protocol and devices e.g. modem, cellular devices, PCS, CDMA, TDMA, GSM (Zombek, [0071], I.1-21). Protocol gateway also acts as an interface between a network 114 and WAN 118 to connect wireless client 112 and backend server (BES) 122 (Zombek, [0072]). Furthermore protocol gateway can also send and receive application message between client application (corresponds to host) and a BES (corresponds to server) (Zombek, [0073]). Zombek further discloses the transport layer protocol SNTL with wirelin and wireless network (Zombek, [0018]).

Claim 19 has similar limitation as claim 1. Therefore claim 19 is rejected for the same reason as claim 1. Dependent claims 20-21 and 25-29 are also rejected.

Regarding to amendments to the specification, page 5, line 11, "Please replace the paragraph at page 22 line 13 with the following rewritten paragraph" points to the wrong paragraph.